APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/030,173			

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tom Cuttingham

(2) Ex. Edna Wong

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview 10/20/03

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☑ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☑ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☑ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: Of record.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the 112 rejections in the most recent Office action. Applicants will consider clarifying the definition of V and the formula Vb. Applicants will consider amending the claim language of "acetylated" to -acetulated- according to the foreign priority document.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98)

Edna Wong